

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/880,139	VINATI ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>Thomas Duong</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Robert C. Faber, Reg. No. 24,322</u> .	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>16 March 2006</u>	Time: _____
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
<i>None</i>	
Claims discussed:	
<i>None</i>	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<i>None</i>	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
See Continuation Sheet	
Part III.	
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	


JASON CARDONE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex.Duong left a message for Mr.Faber, the attorney of record at Ostrolenk, Faber, Gerb & Soffen, LLP, regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Ms.Faber, returned the call and indicated that it is the clients' intention to abandon the application.